RG104 E-1 Box 147

To the Director of the Meins Mashington D. G. In that I am love than Bouten in the aggregate & Should have read the whole contract as disrarded in former years\_ Donlind myself that then basides the few aranded to me that I Am loner than Genten an the pleaning and I claim they Should be also awarded to me my 1 " 1. 19 ds Phe 39 2 3 Benton \$ 46 1 " 2 " 1 25 3 " 38 19 3 9 9 12 9 9 14 Over

RG104 E-1 Box

also-Mil Wing fly 1'd 1/4" Sche \$ 20 Bonton \$26-Alm Pake \$ 25-82 Bonton gives Im Bids, the had no light to do so according to Schoolede, only one was required lake his merage even by 16 50 de leans 2 37-2) \$5350 2675 Leans the leann Now I think I present a
Strong case both on the aggregate award of the arrand by items-I dant like to complain but this Seems so strong of plain a Case that I must ask that Justice be done to myself & in the Interest of the Government If you can

RG104 F-1 Box 147

In any hay explain anay my claims howing set fulk mathing would please me better has If not I shall continue to think that I have but been awarded what is firstly do me I am Cosponsible always filled all my centracts I Stand well in the Busines muld I hape you will coned the malter - my ann doinin is that Super The signed the papers as marked to hims did not examine marked & him of did him of the person ally how the Englished for Englished for the first the fir

1261 - 181. 41667 REG, SEP 9 1887

> Philadelphia, Pa Sept 8. 1887

Elias Pohl

Rel to awards for Tumber at Phila Mint 1887-88

Soph 24# 1887
Respectfully refresh to Photos
Suph months Photos
Refreshor



[Abstract:] Rel. to awards for Lumber at Phila. Mint 1887-88.

Phil.

September 8, 1887

To the Director of the Mint Washington, D.C.

Sir.

I claim 1st that I am lower than Benton in the aggregate & should have rec'd the whole contract as awarded in former years.

2nd that if <u>Bid</u> is divided Between <u>Benton & myself</u> that then besides the few awarded to me that I am lower than Benton on the following and I claim they should be also awarded to me viz

1" No. 1 Bds	Pohl 39 c2	Benton \$46
1" " 2 "	" 25	" 38
1" " 3 "	" 12	" 14
Also No. 1 Virg. Flg. 1" & 1 1/4 "	Pohl \$25	Benton \$26
Also Basswood	Pohl \$25.87	

Benton gives two Bids, & he had no right to do so according to schedule, <u>only one was required</u> but take <u>his average</u> even viz

16.50

37 -

2) \$53.50

Leaves 26.75

& I still am the lowest

Now I think I present a strong case both on the aggregate award & the award by items.

I don't like to complain but this seems so strong & plain a case that I must ask that justice be done to myself & in the interest of the Government. If you can in any way explain away my claims herein set forth nothing would please me better.

But if not I shall continue to think that I have not been awarded what is justly do me.

I am responsible – always filled all my contracts & stand well in the Business world.

I hope you will correct the matter – my own opinion is that Supt. Fox signed the papers as marked to him & did not examine personally hence the Error.

## NARA RG104, Entry 1, Box 147

Very Respectfully, Elias Pohl

[Noted on back:]

Mint Bureau September 24, 1887

Respectfully referred to Supt. Mint U.S. Phila.

R.E. Preston Acting Director